

Chakro.

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/195/2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 14 JUN 2016

**C.A. No. 04/2016 IN O.A. No. 260/2012.  
(Sub :- Punishment)**

1 Shri Shivling K. Pawar,  
R/at. 202, A Surabhi Park, S. No.161/162/ Nagaras Road, Aundh,Pune-07  
....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

1 Shri Sunil Porwal, Secretary  
(Textile), Co-operation, Marketing  
& Textile Dept., The State of  
Maharashtra, Having Office at  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.  
2 Shri P.N. Yagalewad, Director  
(Directorate of Sericulture, M.S.,  
Nagpur), Having Office at New  
Admin. Bldg., No.2, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
B-wing, Commissioner Office Area,  
Civil Lines, Nagpur-01.

....**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 09<sup>th</sup> day of **June, 2016** has made the following order:-

**APPEARANCE :** Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.  
Shri M.D. Lonkar, Special Counsel for the Respondents.

**CORAM :** **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE :** **09.06.2016.**

**ORDER :** 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant states as follows:-

(a) In view of the sub-sequent development the application for action of contempt does not survive.

(b) Hence, C.A. may be disposed of.

3. In view of the statement, application is disposed of.

**Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)**

**Chairman.**

*Handwritten signature and date: 14/6/2016*

**Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.**